

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,646	08/07/2	001	Syed Ashfaq Mahmood	705443US2	1156
24938	7590	09/29/2005	EXAMINER		
DAIMLER CIMS 483-0	CHRYSLER I 2-19	JEANTY, ROMAIN			
	LER DR EAST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUBURN H	ILLS, MI 483	3623			

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)						
		09/923,646		MAHMOOD ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Romain Jeanty	,	3623						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the maid d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS (1.136(a). In no event, he od will apply and will expi ute, cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•					
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	August 2001.								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.									
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requi	rement.							
Application	on Papers									
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment	(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	n8) 5) Γ	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P		D-152)					
	No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other:	4 F						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melchione et al "Melchione" (US Patent No. 5,966,695) in view of Burdick et al "Burdick" (US Patent No. 5,625,816)

As per claim 1, Melchione discloses method for identifying sales targets using a central database to improve marketing success. In so doing, Melchione discloses

creating the centralized database for maintaining the marketing data (col. 2, lines 39-51), compiling marketing data from the plurality of disparate data sources into the centralized database wherein the marketing data is compiled into the centralized database on a periodic basis (col. 6, lines 43-58; col. 10, lines 47-59). Melchione does not expressly disclose creating a venue specific database as a subset of data contained within the centralized database wherein the venue specific database is in a format specific to the venue, and providing access to the venue specific database through an interface module. Burdick in the same field of endeavor, discloses the concept of a local database (venue database) and accessing the local database (col. 6, lines 36-47). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures of Melchione to incorporate the teachings of Burdick in order to store and retrieving data locally.

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 2, Melchione does not expressly disclose validating the marketing data before it is compiled into the centralized database. However, validation data is notoriously old and well known in the art for protecting the integrity of the data. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to validate the marketing data of Melchione in order to prevent the validity of the data.

As per claim 3, Melchione further discloses wherein the plurality of disparate data sources comprise internal data sources, external data sources and legacy systems (col. 9, lines 47-59).

As per claim 4, Melchione and Burdick are silent with regard to the venue specific data comprises a markup language. However, it is old and well known in the art to utilize html. At the time of the invention, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use HTML to in order to language because of the user friendliness of ease of use of the language format data in markup language for easy retrieval of the data.

As per claim 5, Melchione is silent with regard wherein the interface module is an application programming interface. However, utilizing an application programming interface is old and well known in the art for easy access to information. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an application programming interface into the disclosures of Melchione and Burbick for enabling easy transport of the information.

As per claim 6, Melchione discloses a system and method for identifying sales target. In so doing, Melchione discloses collecting the marketing data for the product/service from a plurality of sources, aggregating the marketing data into a centralized database (col. 6, lines 43-58; col. 10, lines 47-59), extracting a subset of the marketing data from the centralized database

Art Unit: 3623

(i.e., retrieving data from the central database) (col. 21, lines 9-15). Melchione does not expressly disclose creating a venue specific database as a subset of data contained within the centralized database wherein the venue specific database is in a format specific to the venue, and providing access to the venue specific database through an interface module. Burdick in the same field of endeavor, discloses the concept of a local database (venue database) and accessing the local database (col. 6, lines 36-47). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures of Melchione to incorporate the teachings of Burdick in order to store and retrieving data locally.

As per claim 7, claim 7 recites the same limitations of claim 6 above; therefore is rejected under the same analysis relied upon of claim 6.

As per claim 8, claim 8 recite the same limitations of rejected claim 2 above; therefore is rejected under the same analysis relied upon of claim 2.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Jones et al (US Patent No. 6,925,441) discloses a method for gathering marketing data for conducting analysis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30 am - 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,646

Art Unit: 3623

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Romain Jeanty
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3623

9/19/2005